

Session 1.1

Defining Nash Equilibrium

This session formally defines a game in strategic form and then defines a pure strategy. A Nash equilibrium is defined by a strategy for each player that is a best reply to all the other players.

Finite games in strategic form

- ◆ At the heart of the definition of a NE is the concept of a **strategy**.
- ◆ A strategy is a **full set of instructions** to a player, telling her how to move at any point she might reach in the game.
- ◆ Strategies are **based on the player's information** at the times she makes her moves: they cannot depend on things she doesn't know yet.
- ◆ Strategies are **exhaustive**: they include directions about moves the player should make should she reach any of her assigned nodes.
- ◆ In this context the word **finite** indicates there are (only) a finite number of players, and each player only has a finite number of (pure) strategies.

Defining the strategic form

◆ Three elements define the strategic form of a game:

1. **Players** . . . Suppose there are N players, who we label $1, 2, \dots, n, \dots, N$.
2. **Strategies** . . . Suppose player n has S_n strategies which we label $s_n = 1, 2, \dots, S_n$. Also write s_{-n} for the strategy of every player apart from player n .
3. **Payoffs** In general the payoff of player n depends on his own strategy, as well as the strategy of every everybody else. We label the payoff to n as $u_n(s_n, s_{-n})$.

Pure strategy Nash equilibrium defined

- ◆ Consider an N player finite game, where s_n is the strategy of the n^{th} player where $1 \leq n \leq N$.
- ◆ A strategy for everybody $s = (s_1, \dots, s_N)$ is called a **strategic profile**.
- ◆ Now ask whether s_n is the best reply of n to s_{-n} for all n ? If so, nobody has an incentive to unilaterally deviate from their assigned strategy.
- ◆ In terms of the notation we have developed, suppose $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N)$ is a strategic profile.
- ◆ Then ρ is a **pure strategy Nash equilibrium** if and only if for each $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and every s_n :

$$u_n(\rho_n, \rho_{-n}) \geq u_n(s_n, \rho_{-n}).$$

Some history

- ◆ Examples of NE were developed almost 200 years ago, by Antoine Cournot (1801 – 1877), Joseph Bertrand (1882 – 1900), and Nobel laureate John Nash (1928 – 2015).
- ◆ More recently, several other economists have won Nobel prizes for their contributions that invent, refine, enhance, and extend the NE concept, including:
 - John Haysani, Bengt Holmstrom, Paul Milgrom, James Mirrlees, Roger Myerson, Reinhard Selten, William Vickrey, Robert Wilson
- ◆ NE and its refinements are now the most widely accepted tools in economics for predicting strategic interaction.