

# Laws of Large Numbers and Central Limit Theorems

Robert A. Miller

Structural Econometrics

November 2021

# Introduction

## Connecting theory with data

- One theme of the preceding lectures is that:
  - 1 unless there are traces of the past in the future, drawing upon data does not enhance prediction.
  - 2 with only a finite amount of data the predictions of our models are contaminated by sampling error.
  - 3 our models are often too complex to evaluate the probability distributions of most interest to us.
- *Laws of Large Numbers* (LLN) and *Central Limit Theorems* (CLT) partially compensate for our inability to compute the finite or exact distributional properties of our estimates and test statistics.
- These theorems are vital in:
  - 1 providing a measure of the central tendency for our predictions.
  - 2 indicating the precision of the predictions.

# Cumulative Distribution Functions

## Definition

- To explain LLN and CLT we first:
  - define cumulative distribution functions.
  - introduce a fourth mode of convergence.
- Suppose  $X(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is measurable with respect to  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .
- Define  $F(b) : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , the (cumulative) probability distribution function, for  $X(\omega)$  as:

$$F(b) \equiv \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq b\} = \Pr\{X \leq b\}$$

- For example if  $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^I$  with  $I < \infty$ , and  $p_i \equiv \Pr\{\omega : \omega \in A_i\}$ , we can express  $F(b)$  as the expected value of  $1\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq b\}$ :

$$F(b) \equiv \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq b\} = \sum_{i=1}^I p_i 1\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq b\}$$

# Cumulative Distribution Functions

## Properties of distribution functions

- Notice that  $F(b) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , is not directly concerned with the domain of  $X(\omega)$ , that is the event space and its  $\sigma$ -algebra  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , but only with its range (outcomes).
- Directly from its definition  $F(b)$  is:
  - 1 increasing.
  - 2  $\lim_{b \rightarrow -\infty} F(b) = 0$  and  $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b) = 1$ .
  - 3 right continuous.
  - 4 continuous at  $b$  iff  $F(b - \varepsilon) \rightarrow F(b)$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

# Cumulative Distribution Functions

Zero mass at continuity points

## Lemma

*F is continuous at b if and only if  $\Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) = b\} = 0$ , which is to say there is no mass point at b.*

## Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq b\} &= \{\omega : X(\omega) < b\} \cup \{\omega : X(\omega) = b\} \\ \Rightarrow \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq b\} &= \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) < b\} + \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) = b\} \\ \Rightarrow \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) = b\} &= \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq b\} - \Pr\{\omega : X(\omega) < b\} \\ &= F(b) - F(b^-)\end{aligned}$$

The RHS = 0 if and only if F is continuous. Thus  $F_X(\cdot)$  is continuous at b if and only if b has measure zero. □

# Cumulative Distribution Functions

Expectation and Lebesgue integration defined

- For  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable  $X(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  define:

$$\int X(\omega) dP(\omega) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^I \left[ \inf_{\omega \in A_i} X(\omega) \right] P(A_i) \right\}$$

where  $I < \infty$  and:

- the supremum is taken over all finite partitions of  $\Omega$  into sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $\int X(\omega) dP(\omega) = \infty$  if the supremum does not exist.
- Thus simple functions  $X(\omega)$ , defined on a finite partition  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^I$  of  $\Omega$  with  $X(\omega) = b_i \in [0, \infty]$  for  $\omega \in A_i$  have expected value:

$$E[X(\omega)] = \sum_{i=1}^I P(A_i) b_i$$

- More generally for  $X(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , define (if possible):

$$\int X(\omega) dP(\omega) = \int X^+(\omega) dP(\omega) - \int X^-(\omega) dP(\omega)$$

where  $X^+(\omega) = \max\{X(\omega), 0\}$  and  $X^-(\omega) = -\min\{X(\omega), 0\}$ .

# Cumulative Distribution Functions

An illustration of Lebesgue integration and measurability

# Weak Convergence

Convergence in distribution defined

- Let  $F_1, F_2, \dots$  be distribution functions for  $X_1, X_2, \dots$
- Then  $X_N$  converges weakly to  $X$ , or  $X_N$  converges in distribution/law, notated as:

$$X_N \xrightarrow{d} X$$

if and only if:

$$F_N(b) \rightarrow F(b) \text{ for all } b \text{ where } F \text{ is continuous.}$$

- For example in an assignment you are asked to show:

$$F_N(b) = \begin{cases} 0 & b < \frac{1}{N} \\ 1 & b \geq \frac{1}{N} \end{cases}$$

$$F(b) = \begin{cases} 0 & b < 0 \\ 1 & b \geq 0 \end{cases}$$



# Weak Convergence

Comparing convergence in distribution with convergence in probability

- Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution:

## Lemma

See Dhyrnes (1989 pages 161-162): "Topics in Advance Econometrics: Probability Foundations."

$$\text{If } X_N \xrightarrow{P} X, \text{ then } X_N \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

- However the reverse is not true:

$$X_N \xrightarrow{d} X \text{ does not imply } X_N \xrightarrow{P} X.$$

- For example let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and  $X_1 = X$ ,  $X_2 = -X$ ,  $X_3 = X$ ,  $\dots$  :
  - Then (trivially)  $X_N \xrightarrow{d} X$  but  $X_N \not\xrightarrow{P} X$ .
- In contrast to the other three notions of convergence we discussed, weak convergence is not directly concerned with the mapping  $X(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , but only the measure  $P$  assigned to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# Weak Convergence

Weak convergence to the same distribution

## Lemma

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be two sequences of random variables with respect to  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ :

$$\text{If } X_N - Y_N = o_p(1) \text{ and } X_N \xrightarrow{d} X \text{ then } Y_N \xrightarrow{d} X$$

## Proof.

See Fuller (1976, Theorem 5.2.1. pages 193 -194): "Introduction to Statistical Time Series". □

# Asymptotic Measures of Central Tendency

## Summary statement

- Consider a sequence of random variables  $X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots$  and denote the mean of the sample by:

$$\bar{X}_N(\omega) \equiv N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n(\omega)$$

- *Laws of Large Numbers* (LLN) relate to the convergence of  $\bar{X}_N(\omega)$ :
  - Weak LLN refer to convergence in probability.
  - Strong LLN refer to almost sure convergence.
- *Central Limit Theorems* (CLT) give conditions for the convergence in distribution of  $N^{1/2} \bar{X}_N(\omega)$  when  $\bar{X}_N(\omega)$  is *centered*, that is when:
  - $E[\bar{X}_N(\omega)]$  exists and  $E[\bar{X}_N(\omega)] = 0$ .

# Asymptotic Measures of Central Tendency

## Twin premise

- Both LLN and CLT are based on assumptions that formalize the following intuition:
  - ① Outliers play a limited role.
  - ② The dependence of current realizations on past realizations is limited too.
- Two sufficient conditions for the theorems and results we review below are that:
  - ①  $|X_n(\omega)| \leq M$  for some  $M < \infty$  (limited variation).
  - ②  $\Pr[X_n(\omega), X_{n+k}(\omega)] = \Pr[X_n(\omega)] \Pr[X_{n+k}(\omega)]$  for all  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $k \in \{K, K+1, K+2, \dots\}$  with  $K < \infty$  (asymptotic independence).
- More general LLN and CLT amount to further relaxations of these two conditions.

# Asymptotic Measures of Central Tendency

What can happen when variation is unbounded?

- To see why some form of bounded variation is necessary consider the following example. For all  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  let:
  - $X_n(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \{-n, n\}$ .
  - $\Pr\{X_n(\omega) = n\} = \theta$ .
  - For all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ :

$$\Pr\{X_n(\omega), X_{n+k}(\omega)\} = \Pr\{X_n(\omega)\} \Pr\{X_{n+k}(\omega)\}$$

- Hence  $E[X_n] = n(2\theta - 1)$  and  $E[(X_n - E[X_n])^2] = 4n^2\theta(1 - \theta)$ .
- For a sample of length  $N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N E[X_n(\omega)] &= N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N E[X_n^+(\omega)] - N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N E[X_n^-(\omega)] \\ &= \theta(N+1)/2 - (1-\theta)(N+1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of  $N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N E[X_n(\omega)]$  is indeterminate.

# Asymptotic Measures of Central Tendency

What can happen when there is asymptotic dependence?

- An example violating the second condition is:
  - $X_n(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $\Pr\{X_n(\omega) = 1\} = \theta$ .
  - $\Pr\{X_{n+1}(\omega) = x | X_n(\omega) = x\} = 1$ .
- For future reference we note that  $X_n(\omega)$  is stationary because for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ :

$$\Pr(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \Pr(x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots)$$

- But  $\bar{X}_N(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $\Pr\{X_n(\omega) = 1\} = \theta$ .
- Therefore  $\bar{X}_N(\omega) \xrightarrow{p} E[X_n(\omega)] = \theta$ .

# Laws of Large Numbers

## Weak Law of Large Numbers (Chebychev)

### Theorem

Define:

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N X_n$$

for independently distributed random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ . Suppose:

$$E(X_n) = \mu_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(X_n) = \sigma_n^2$$

and

$$\text{var}(S_N) \equiv V_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^2 = o(N^2).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{N} \left( S_N - \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

- For example if  $\text{var}(X_n) = \sigma^2$  then  $V_N = N\sigma^2$  implying  $V_N / N^2 \rightarrow 0$ .

## Proof.

First note:

$$E \left[ N^{-1} \left( S_N - \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n \right) \right] = 0$$

$$V \left[ N^{-1} \left( S_N - \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n \right) \right] = N^{-2} V_N = N^{-2} o(N^2) = o(1)$$

Hence for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , there exists  $N_0 < \infty$  such that:

$$N^{-2} V_N < \epsilon^2 \gamma.$$

for all  $N \geq N_0$ . Chebychev's inequality implies that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\Pr \{ |Y| \geq \epsilon \} \leq \epsilon^{-2} E[Y^2]$$

when the first two moments of the random variable  $Y$  exist. Combining both inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left\{ \left| N^{-1} \left( S_N - \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n \right) \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} &\leq \epsilon^{-2} N^{-2} V_N < \gamma \\ \implies N^{-1} \left( S_N - \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n \right) &\xrightarrow{p} 0 \end{aligned}$$



# Laws of Large Numbers

## Strong Law of Large Numbers (Kolmogorov)

### Theorem

Suppose  $X_n(\omega)$  is independent with finite variance  $\sigma_n^2$ . If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^2 / n^2 < \infty$  then  $\bar{X}_N(\omega) - E[\bar{X}_N(\omega)] \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ .

### Theorem

Suppose  $X_n(\omega)$  is independent and identically distributed (iid). Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\bar{X}_N(\omega) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$  is that  $E[X_n(\omega)]$  exists and that  $\mu = E[X_n(\omega)]$ .

- For proofs see:
  - Rao (1973, pages 114 -116): "Linear Statistical Inference and its Application".
  - Billingsley (1979, pages 250 -251): "Probability and Measure."

# Laws of Large Numbers

## Uniform LLN (Glivenko-Cantelli)

- Further extending these results, define the indicator random variable:

$$d_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_n(\omega) \leq x \\ 0 & \text{if } X_n(\omega) > x \end{cases}$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then:

$$F(x) \equiv \Pr \{X_n(\omega) \leq x\} = E [d_n(\omega)]$$

- Thus if  $\bar{X}_N(\omega) - E[\bar{X}_N(\omega)] \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  so does  $F_N(x) - F(x)$ .
- The Glivenko Cantelli theorem strengthens this pointwise convergence to uniform convergence.
- If the conditions for one of Kolmogorov's LLN are satisfied:

$$\|F_N - F\|_\infty \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_N(x) - F(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

- The discrete case follows trivially from the strong LLN using the notation above.

# Laws of Large Numbers

Intuition for continuously distributed random variables

- Consider a continuous random variable  $X$  and fix:

$$-\infty \equiv x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{r-1} < x_r = \infty$$

spaced so that  $F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1}) = r^{-1}$ .

- Then there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$F_N(x) - F(x) \leq F_N(x_i) - F(x_{i-1}) = F_N(x_i) - F(x_i) + r^{-1}$$

$$F_N(x) - F(x) \geq F_N(x_{i-1}) - F(x_i) = F_N(x_{i-1}) - F(x_{i-1}) + r^{-1}$$

- Therefore:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_N(x) - F(x)| \leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}} |F_N(x_i) - F(x_i)| + r^{-1}$$

- Completing the proof exploits the facts that:

- we can make  $r^{-1}$  infinitesimal.
- $\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}} |F_N(x_i) - F(x_i)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ .

# Laws of Large Numbers

## Martingale difference sequences

- The assumption of independence can be relaxed in several ways.
- Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  equipped with an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and suppose  $X_n(\omega)$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .
- In this case we say that  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is *adapted* to  $\{X_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ .
- A *martingale difference sequence* (MDS) is an adapted sequence on  $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  with the property that  $E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = 0$ .

### Theorem

Suppose  $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is an MDS with variances  $\{\sigma_n^2\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of constants with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ . Then  $S_N / a_N \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^2 / a_n^2 < \infty$ .

# Laws of Large Numbers

## The ergodic theorem

- $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is *stationary* if and only if for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr(\{\omega : X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots\} \in B) \\ &= \Pr(\{\omega : X_{k+1}(\omega), X_{k+2}(\omega), \dots\} \in B) \end{aligned}$$

- A stationary sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is *ergodic* if for any two bounded mappings  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E[f(X_1, \dots, X_k) g(X_{1+N}, \dots, X_{l+N})] \\ &= E[f(X_1, \dots, X_k)] E[g(X_{1+N}, \dots, X_{l+N})] \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem

If  $\{X_n\}$  is stationary and ergodic, and the expected value of  $X_n$  exists with  $E(X_n) < \infty$ , then:

$$S_N / N \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(X_n)$$

# Central Limit Theorems

## The Lindeberg-Levy CLT

### Theorem

Let  $X_n$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with zero mean and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then:

$$N^{-1/2}S_N = N^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

- Note when  $X_n$  is normally distributed  $N^{-1/2}S_N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  for all  $N$  not just in the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .
- Again, there are several generalizations to this result:
  - It can be extended to vectors.
  - The assumption that  $X_n$  is identically distributed can be relaxed.
  - We can also relax the independence assumption.

# Central Limit Theorems

Intuition for CLT when all the moments exist (and fully characterize the distribution)

- Noting  $N^{-1/2}S_N$  has mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ , consider the fourth moment:

$$E(N^{-2}S_N^4) = N^{-2} \sum_{r=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{u=1}^N E(X_r X_s X_t X_u)$$

- Since  $X_n$  are independently distributed,  $E(X_r X_s X_t X_u) \neq 0$  only when:

$$r = s = t = u, \quad r = s \neq t = u, \quad r = t \neq s = u, \quad r = u \neq t = s$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies E[N^{-2}S_N^4] &= N^{-2} \{NE[X_n^4] + 3N(N-1)\sigma^4\} \\ &= o(N) + 3(N-1)N^{-1}\sigma^4 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus the value of the fourth moment only depends on  $\sigma^2$ .

# Central Limit Theorems

## Continuing the intuition for a simple CLT

- A similar argument applies to all the even moments.
- All the odd moments are asymptotically negligible.
- Consequently, given a value for  $\sigma^2$ , the asymptotic distribution of  $N^{-1/2}S_N$  does not depend on the distribution of  $X_n$ .
- In particular, when  $X_n$  is standard normal  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , so is  $N^{-1/2}S_N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  for all  $N$ .



# Central Limit Theorems

A general CLT for independent random variables (the Lindeberg-Feller theorem)

- Given independent random variables  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with means  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  define the triangular array:

$$X_{nN} \equiv (X_n - \mu_n) / s_N \quad \text{where } s_N^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N E \left[ (X_n - \mu_n)^2 \right]$$

- Let  $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N X_{nN}$ . By independence (and construction):

$$E[S_N] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E[S_N^2] = \sum_{n=1}^N \int X_{nN}^2 dP = 1$$

- Lindeberg proved  $S_N \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{|X_{nN}| \geq \varepsilon} X_{nN}^2 dP \right\} = 0 \quad (1)$$

- Conversely Feller proved (1) holds if  $S_N \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \Pr \{ |X_{nN}| > \varepsilon \} \right] = 0$$

# Central Limit Theorems

## Liapouov's sufficient condition

- A stronger condition than (1) is that for some  $\delta > 0$ :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N E \left[ |X_{nN}|^{2+\delta} \right] = 0 \quad (2)$$

- To show (2) is stronger than (1), note that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ |X_{nN}|^{2+\delta} \right] &\geq \int_{|X_{nN}| \geq \epsilon} |X_{nN}|^{2+\delta} dP \\ &\geq \int_{|X_{nN}| \geq \epsilon} X_{nN}^2 \epsilon^\delta dP \\ &= \epsilon^\delta \int_{|X_{nN}| \geq \epsilon} X_{nN}^2 dP \\ \implies \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N E \left[ |X_{nN}|^{2+\delta} \right] &\geq \epsilon^\delta \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{|X_{nN}| \geq \epsilon} X_{nN}^2 dP \end{aligned}$$

Lindeberg's condition, (1), now follows from (2) because  $\epsilon^\delta > 0$  and does not depend on  $N$ .

# Central Limit Theorems

## A CLT for dependent processes

### Theorem

Let  $\{X_{nN}, \mathcal{F}_{nN}\}$  be a martingale difference array with finite unconditional variances  $\{\sigma_{nN}^2\}$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_{nN}^2 = 1$ . Then:

$$S_N \equiv \sum_{n=1}^N X_{nN} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

if:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N X_{nN}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} |X_{nN}| \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

### Proof.

See Davidson (1994, Theorem 24.3, pages 383 - 384): "Stochastic Limit Theory". □